



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,427	02/19/2000	John Marks	10991105-1	1388
22879	7590 09/23/2003			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		HO, THE T		
FOR I COLLI	ins, CO 80327-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	9
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- PRE				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
Advisory Action	09/507,427	MARKS ET AL.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The Thanh Ho	2126					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper rep which places the applic	ation in				
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the period expiration of the set of the set of the period expiration of the set of the	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date serie later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS the date on which the petition under d of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for office later than three months after the	mailing date of the final reject OF THE FINAL REJECTION 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app g amount of the fee. The app reply originally set in the final	tion See MPEP propriate extension propriate extension of Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected clain	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	lld be allowable if submitted in	n a separate, timely filed	l amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		considered but does NC	OT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which we	re newly				
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims 			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 19-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ d	sapproved by the Exam	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)					
0. ☐ Other:							
JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration filed 9/3/2003 has been fully considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because applicant argues the same arguments as set forth in the amendment received 4/21/2003. See Examiner's rejection argument in the Final Rejection.